arXiv:1611.06504v2 [math.RT] 22 Nov 2016

STRING CONE AND SUPERPOTENTIAL COMBINATORICS FOR FLAG AND SCHUBERT VARIETIES IN TYPE A

L. BOSSINGER AND G. FOURIER

Abstract. We show that every weighted string cone for a reduced expression of the longest element in S_{n+1} is unimodularly equivalent to the cone obtained through the tropicalization of a Landau-Ginzburg superpotential in an appropriate seed of the cluster algebra $\mathbb{C}[G^{e,w_0}]$, the coordinate ring of the double Bruhat cell G^{e,w_0}. We provide, using Gleizer-Postnikov paths in pseudoline arrangements, a combinatorial model to describe the superpotential cones (non-recursively). Our results implies that any toric degeneration of the flag variety obtained through string polytopes, appears also in the framework of potentials on cluster varieties as conjectured by Gross, Hacking, Keel, and Kontsevich.

We extend this result also to Schubert varieties and double Bruhat cells $G^{e,w}$, e.g. the weighted string cone of every Schubert variety in type A can be obtained through restricting the superpotential to an appropriate double Bruhat cell.

Introduction

Let $w_0 \in S_{n+1}$ be the longest word in the symmetric group and $\underline{w}_0 = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_N}$ a reduced expression in terms of simple transpositions. To $\underline{w}_0$ one associates a pseudoline arrangement of $n+1$ lines with intersection points determined by $\underline{w}_0$. Gleizer and Postnikov introduced in [16] *rigorous paths* in these arrangements, by fixing an orientation and considering all paths from sources to sinks satisfying certain conditions. Each such path is described by its turning points (e.g. intersection points of pseudolines). We fix a basis of $\mathbb{R}^N$ indexed by the intersection points, then each rigorous path determines a vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$ giving by its characteristic function.

In [16] a polyhedral cone is introduced, using all these vectors for a fixed reduced expression $\underline{w}_0$ as normal vectors of the facets. We will call this cone the Gleizer-Postnikov cone $C_{\underline{w}_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ (see Definition 5). By adding natural weight conditions, we obtain a weighted cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+n}$ (see Definition 6).

We introduce in this paper another polyhedral cone associated to a pseudoline arrangement. For this let $\mathbf{p}$ be a rigorous path and $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ the area in the pseudoline arrangement enclosed by $\mathbf{p}$. This time we label a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{N+n}$ by the faces of the pseudoline arrangement and consider the normal vector associated to $\mathbf{p}$ defined by the characteristic function on $A_{\mathbf{p}}$. Again, adding natural weight vectors, we define the cone $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N+n}$ using all these vectors as facet normals (see Definition 8).

Let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^{N+n} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the projection onto the additional coordinates, $\pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^{N+n} \to \mathbb{R}^N$, the projection onto the first coordinates. The first theorem is

Theorem. For each $\underline{w}_0$, the two cones $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ are unimodularly equivalent and the lattice-preserving linear map is giving by the duality of faces and vertices in the pseudoline arrangement. Moreover, this linear map restricts to linear bijections between the polytopes

$$\pi_1^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0} \cong \pi_1^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$$

and the cones

$$\pi_2(\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}) \cong \pi_2(\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}) = C_{\underline{w}_0}\,.$$

In fact, we show a stronger version for every $w \in S_{n+1}$. We introduce the notion of Gleizer-Postnikov paths for $\underline{w}$, a reduced expression of w. Then we can extend the construction of the two polyhedral cones to arbitrary $\underline{w} \in S_{n+1}$ and show that $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}}$ are unimodularly equivalent via a lattice-preserving linear map (see Theorem 1).

We explain how this result can be interpreted in the interplay of Representation Theory and Cluster Theory. One of the results of [16] is that $C_{\underline{w}_0}$ is the string cone defined by Littelmann [18] and Berenstein-Zelevinsky [4] in the context of canonical basis of quantum groups. We extend this result: the weighted string cone (defined in [18]) is the weighted Gleizer-Postnikov cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$. The lattice points in $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ parametrize a basis of $\mathbb{C}[SL_{n+1}/U^+]$ for a maximal unipotent subgroup U^+ of the positive Borel subgroup B^+ of $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. This coordinate ring is isomorphic to the direct sum of the dual spaces of all irreducible, finite-dimensional SL_{n+1}-modules.

There are constructions of $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ provided in [18] and [4], both give a set of inequalities defining the cone. Moreover, the results in [18] extend to the Schubert cell B^+wB^+/B^+ (and B^+wB^+/U^+, the Schubert cell extended by the torus $T = B^+ \cap U^+$). For any reduced expression $\underline{w}$ of an element $w \in S_{n+1}$, [18] provided a description of a polyhedral cone (the weighted string cone) whose lattice points parametrize a basis of the coordinate ring of B^+wB^+/U^+. This construction is again via the identification of the coordinate ring with the dual space of all Demazure modules corresponding to w. The double Bruhat cell $G^{e,w} := B^- \cap B^+wB^+$ can be identified with an open subset of B^+wB^+/U^+ and its coordinate ring is also $\mathbb{C}[B^+wB^+/U^+]$.

Caldero showed in [5] that the string cone for the Schubert cell B^+wB^+/B^+ can be obtained from a specific string cone of SL_{n+1}/B^+. Let $\underline{w}_0$ be an extension of the reduced expression $\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$ to $\underline{w}_0 = \underline{w}s_{i_{p+1}} \cdots s_{i_N}$, then the string cone for the Schubert cell B^+wB^+/B^+ is the intersection of the string cone for $\underline{w}_0$ with $\mathbb{R}^p \times \{0\}^{N-p}$. One can extend this to B^+wB^+/U^+ and the weighted string cone. We then show that this weighted string cone is the weighted Gleizer-Postnikov cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$ (see Theorem 3.1).

The other cone $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ appears in the framework of superpotentials of cluster varieties. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the Fock-Goncharov dual of the $\mathcal{A}$-cluster variety G^{e,w_0} and let $s_0 = s_{\underline{w}_0}$ be the seed of the cluster algebra $\mathbb{C}[G^{e,w_0}]$ corresponding to the reduced expression $\underline{w}_0 = s_1\, s_2 s_1 \cdots s_n \cdots s_2 s_1$. Let W be the Landau-Ginzburg superpotential defined by the sum of the ϑ-functions for every frozen variable in s_0. Then W^{trop} denotes the tropicalization of the superpotential. Magee has shown in [19] that

$$\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+n} \mid W^{trop}|_{\mathcal{X}_{s_0}}(x) \geq 0\} =: \Xi_{s_0}.$$

We show that the mutation of the pseudoline arrangement and hence of the cone $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$, is compatible with the mutation of the superpotential [10]. We obtain

Theorem. Let $\underline{w}_0$ be an arbitrary reduced expression of $w_0 \in S_{n+1}$ and $s_{\underline{w}_0}$ be the seed corresponding to the pseudoline arrangement, $\mathcal{X}_{s_{\underline{w}_0}}$ the toric chart of the seed $s_{\underline{w}_0}$. Then

$$\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+n} \mid W^{trop}|_{\mathcal{X}_{s_{\underline{w}_0}}}(x) \geq 0\} =: \Xi_{s_{\underline{w}_0}},$$

the polyhedral cone defined by the tropicalization of W in the seed $s_{\underline{w}_0}$.

Remark. In [14], a similar result is obtained for the (*non-weighted*) string cone. Namely using Gleizer-Postnikov paths they defined a superpotential whose induced cone is the string cone for every seed $s_{\underline{w}_0}$ and then show that this superpotential is isomorphic to W, which also imply that $\pi_2(\Xi_{s_{\underline{w}_0}}) \cong C_{\underline{w}_0}$.

Combing both theorems, we show that every string polytope for SL_{n+1}/B^+ arises via superpotentials on $\mathcal{X}$ in an appropriate seed. Our results imply that every toric degeneration of SL_{n+1}/B^+ induced from a string polytope can be obtained through the framework of cluster varieties as conjectured by Gross, Hacking Keel and Kontsevich in [11]. See [7] for an overview on toric degenerations.

Again, similarly as for the weighted string cones we can say more. Now $w \in S_{n+1}$ is arbitrary and $\underline{w}$ a reduced expression of w. Let W be the superpotential on $\mathcal{X}$ as above, and consider its restriction $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(W)$ to the Fock-Goncharov dual of the $\mathcal{A}$-cluster variety $G^{e,w}$. Let $s_{\underline{w}}$ be the corresponding seed in the cluster algebra, see Definition 3. Then the tropicalization of the restriction yields again a cone $\Xi_{s_{\underline{w}}}$.

Theorem. Let $\underline{w} \in S_{n+1}$, $\underline{w}_0$ an extension of w. Let $s_{\underline{w}}$ (resp. $s_{\underline{w}_0}$) be the corresponding seed in the cluster algebra of the double Bruhat cell $G^{e,w}$ (resp. G^{e,w_0}). Then $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}}$ is the cone $\Xi_{s_{\underline{w}}}$ defined by the tropicalization of the restricted superpotential $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(W|_{\mathcal{X}_{s_{\underline{w}_0}}})$.

In this sense, toric degenerations of Schubert varieties arising from string polytopes can be obtained through the approach in [11].

To compute the ϑ_f-functions for a frozen variable x_f, one needs to find a seed s where the frozen vertex v_f is a sink in the quiver corresponding to this seed. Then s is called an *optimized seed* in [11]. In [19] these optimized seeds have been computed for every frozen variable for G^{e,w_0}. We extend this and show for $G^{e,w}$ there exists an optimized seed for every frozen variable (Proposition 8).

One could define a function in the same manner as the superpotential using the induced ϑ-function for every frozen variable. But we discuss in Section 4.5 an example where the cone induced by this tropicalization has not enough lattice points to describe a basis of $\mathbb{C}[G^{e,w}]$.

The paper is organized as follows: We recall briefly the combinatorics of pseudoline arrangements in Section 1. Then in Section 2 we introduce the two weighted cones and show their unimodular equivalence. Section 3 connects to the well-known string cones and Section 4 to cluster varieties and Superpotentials.

Acknowledgements: Both authors would like to thank X. Fang for all the stimulating and helpful discussions. In particular, we would like to thank T. Magee and P. Littelmann for explaining their results over and over again.

1. Pseudoline arrangements and Gleizer-Postnikov paths

1.1. **Preliminaries.** Let S_{n+1} denote the symmetric group on $n+1$ letters. We fix generators $s_i = (i, i+1)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, the simple transpositions. Then for evety

$w \in S_{n+1}$, we denote by $\ell(w)$ the minimal length of w as a word in the generators s_i. Further $\underline{w}$ denotes a reduced expression

$$\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}.$$

Such an expression is not unique. For any two reduced expressions of w there is a sequence of local transformations leading from one to the other. These local transformations are either swapping orthogonal reflections $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ if $|i-j| > 1$ or exchanging consecutive $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$.

1.2. **Pseudoline arrangements and mutations.**

Definition 1. A *pseudoline arrangement* associated to a reduced expression $\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ is a diagram consisting of $n+1$ horizontal *pseudolines* $l_1, \ldots, l_{n+1}$ (or short *lines*) from bottom to top labelled at the left end, whose crossings are indicated by the reduced expression. A reflection s_i indicates a crossing at *level* i.

For a given reduced expression $\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{l(w)}}$, we associate to each s_{i_j} a pair $\beta_{i_j} = (k, m)$, $k < m$, which represents the two lines intersecting at position i_j. As two lines l_k, l_m cross at most once, there is at most one position with label (k, m). The intersection point is a vertex in the diagram and will be labelled $v_{(k,m)}$. Further the right end of a pseudoline l_i is a vertex labelled L_i.

Definition 2. A *mutation* of a pseudoline arrangement is a change of consecutive $s_i s_{i+1} s_i$ to $s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ or vice versa (see Figure 1). A face X of the pseudoline arrangement is called *mutable* if it has three vertices corresponding to consecutive simple reflections $s_i s_{i+1} s_i$ or $s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$. The corresponding mutation will be denoted μ_X. In Figure 1, the local structure structure of a mutable face is given. We call the shape of X to be *up*, if it is of the left from (say $s_i s_{i+1} s_i$) and *down* if it is of the right form (say $s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$).



FIGURE 1. Mutation of pseudoline arrangements.

1.3. **The quiver of a pseudoline arrangement.**

Definition 3. [3, Definition 2.2] The *quiver* $\Gamma_{\underline{w}}$ associated to the pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}$ has *vertices* associated to the faces of the diagram and *arrows* of two kinds. Firstly, if two faces are at the same level separated by a crossing then there is an arrow from left to right (see Figure 2a). Secondly, if two faces are on consecutive levels separated by two crossing then there is an arrow from right to left (either upward or downwards, see Figure 2b, 2c). Vertices corresponding to unbounded faces are called *frozen* and arrows between those can be disregarded. Other vertices are called *mutable*.



FIGURE 2. Arrows of the quiver arising from the pseudoline arrangement.

Consider $\underline{w}_0 = s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1 \dots s_ns_{n-1} \dots s_3s_2s_1$ as reduced expression for the quiver $\Gamma_{\underline{w}_0}$. We label the frozen vertices corresponding to faces on the right boundary of the pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}_0$ by $v_{n,n+1}, \dots, v_{1,n+1}$ from bottom to top in correspondence to the crossing to their left. The frozen vertices on the left boundary are labelled by $v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}$ from bottom to top referring to their level. In the following example we describe the quiver corresponding to this *initial* reduced expression of $\underline{w}_0$ for $n = 5$.

Example 1. For SL_5 the initial reduced expression of w_0 is $\underline{w}_0 = s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1s_4s_3s_2s_1$. The pseudoline arrangement and the corresponding quiver are as in Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. Pseudoline arrangement and quiver for $\underline{w}_0 = s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1s_4s_3s_2s_1$.

1.4. **Orientation and paths.** For every pair (l_i, l_{i+1}) with $1 \leq i \leq n$ we give an orientation to a pseudoline arrangement by orienting lines $l_1, \dots, l_i$ from right to left and lines $l_{i+1}, \dots, l_{n+1}$ from left to right, see Figure 4. Paths in such an oriented diagram are called *rigorous* if they avoid the following two situations: three adjacent vertices $v_{k-1} \to v_k \to v_{k+1}$ in the path belong to the same pseudoline l_i and v_k is the intersection of l_i and l_j. If either $i < j$ and both lines are oriented to the left, or $i > j$ and both lines are oriented to the right, the path is non-rigorous. In Figure 5 the red arrows indicate the *non-rigorous* line segments that have to be avoided.

Definition 4. Let $\underline{w}$ be a fixed reduced expression of $w \in S_{n+1}$. A *Gleizer-Postnikov path* (or short *GP-path*) is a rigorous path $\mathbf{p}$ in the pseudoline arrangement associated to $\underline{w}$ with orientation (l_i, l_{i+1}). It has source L_p and sink L_q for $p \leq i, q \geq i+1$ and $w(i+1) \leq w(p), w(q) \leq w(i)$. We then say $\mathbf{p}$ is of shape (l_i, l_{i+1}). The set of GP-paths in the pseudoline arrangement associated to $\underline{w}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}$.

Note that if $w(i) < w(i+1)$ there are no GP-paths of shape (l_i, l_{i+1}).

1.5. **Properties of Gleizer-Postnikov paths.**



FIGURE 4. Pseudoline arrangement corresponding to $\underline{w}_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1$ for SL_3 with orientation for (l_1, l_2).

FIGURE 5. The two local orientations forbidden in a rigorous path.

Proposition 1. Let $\mathbf{p}$ be a GP-path of shape (l_i, l_{i+1}), then $\mathbf{p}$ is either the empty path or does not cross the lines l_{i+1} and l_i, i.e. $\mathbf{p}$ does not leave the area in the pseudoline arrangement that is bounded by l_i and l_{i+1}.

Proof. Fix on orientation for the pseudoline arrangement corresponding to the lines l_i and l_{i+1}. All lines l_k for $k \leq i$ are oriented to the left and all lines l_j for $j \geq i+1$ are oriented to the right.

Suppose now that $\mathbf{p}$ is a GP-path of shape (l_i, l_{i+1}) partially to the right of l_{i+1}. Then there is a line l_k crossing l_{i+1} from left to right. There are two cases: first if $k > i+1$ then l_k is also oriented to the left, but the right end of l_k is above the right end of l_{i+1}. So the two lines have to intersect at least another time to the right of the first intersection point. This is a contradiction since all pairs of lines cross at most once. The second case, $k < i$ is similar, here the right end of l_k is below the right end of l_k. So they have to intersect once again near the right end, which is a contradiction.

The case of the path $\mathbf{p}$ being partially to the right of the line l_i can be treated similarly. Lastly we consider a path that travels along l_{i+1} beyond the crossing with l_i. Using again that a path can not cross l_i from right to left, we see that the path $\mathbf{p}$ has to cross l_{i+1} from left to right to continue to l_i. In this case, $\mathbf{p}$ would form a loop with contradicts the GP-path condition. □

There are only four possible local orientations of pseudoline arrangement around a mutable face X. Depending on the shape of X, they are shown in Figure 6. We are presenting for each orientation (l_i, l_{i+1}) all GP-paths that pass this area and how they change under mutation. For the orientation (lll) we have



FIGURE 6. The possible local orientations at a mutable face before and after mutation. The red arrows are those forbidden in GP-paths.

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{k-1} \to v_1 \to a_{k+1} \quad &\leftrightarrow \quad b_{k_1} \to v_2 \to v_3 \to a_{k+1} \\
b_k \to v_3 \to v_1 \to a_{k+1} \quad &\leftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} b_k \to v_2 \to v_3 \to a_{k+1} \\ b_k \to v_2 \to v_1 \to v_3 \to a_{k+1} \end{cases} \\
b_k \to v_3 \to v_1 \to v_2 \to a_k \quad &\leftrightarrow \quad b_k \to v_2 \to v_1 \to v_3 \to a_k \\
b_{k+1} \to v_3 \to v_1 \to a_{k+1} \quad &\leftrightarrow \quad b_{k+1} \to v_1 \to v_3 \to a_{k+1} \\
\begin{cases} b_{k+1} \to v_3 \to v_1 \to v_2 \to a_k \\ b_{k+1} \to v_3 \to v_2 \to a_k \end{cases} \quad &\leftrightarrow \quad b_{k+1} \to v_1 \to v_3 \to a_k \\
b_{k+1} \to v_3 \to v_2 \to a_{k-1} \quad &\leftrightarrow \quad b_{k+1} \to v_1 \to a_{k-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The GP-paths passing through locally with orientation (llr) are

$$
b_k \to v_3 \to b_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \begin{cases} b_k \to v_2 \to v_3 \to v_1 \to b_{k+1} \\ b_k \to v_2 \to v_1 \to b_{k+1} \end{cases}.
$$

Similarly, for orientation (lrr) we have

$$
\begin{cases} b_{k-1} \to v_1 \to v_3 \to b_k \\ b_{k-1} \to v_1 \to v_2 \to v_3 \to b_k \end{cases} \leftrightarrow b_{k-1} \to v_2 \to b_k
$$

And finally for the orientation (rrr) the six pairs of GP-paths are

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{k+1} \to v_1 \to b_{k-1} &\leftrightarrow a_{k+1} \to v_2 \to v_3 \to b_{k-1} \\
a_k \to v_2 \to v_1 \to b_{k-1} &\leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a_k \to v_2 \to v_3 \to b_{k-1} \\ a_k \to v_2 \to v_1 \to v_3 \to b_{k-1} \end{cases} \\
a_k \to v_2 \to v_1 \to v_3 \to b_k &\leftrightarrow a_k \to v_2 \to v_1 \to v_3 \to b_k \\
a_{k-1} \to v_2 \to v_1 \to b_{k-1} &\leftrightarrow a_{k-1} \to v_1 \to v_3 \to b_{k-1} \\
\begin{cases} a_{k-1} \to v_2 \to v_1 \to v_3 \to b_k \\ a_{k-1} \to v_2 \to v_3 \to b_k \end{cases} &\leftrightarrow a_{k-1} \to v_1 \to v_3 \to b_k \\
a_{k-1} \to v_2 \to v_3 \to b_{k+1} &\leftrightarrow a_{k-1} \to v_1 \to b_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Two cones arising from Gleizer-Postnikov paths

We define two (weighted) cones that arise from the set of all Gleizer-Postnikov path of a fixed $\underline{w}$. We relate these two cones in the forthcoming sections, one to the weighted string cones (introduced by Littelmann [18] and Berenstein-Zelevinsky [4]), the other one to tropicalizations of a superpotential for a double Bruhat cell.

2.1. **The first cone.** Recall that for $\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ every s_{i_j} can be associated to a pair (k, l) and fix the standard basis $\{c_{(k,l)}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}$. Let $\mathbf{p}$ be a GP-path in $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}$, say

$$\mathbf{p} = L_p \to v_{r_1} \to \dots \to v_{r_m} \to L_q,\ 1 \le p \le i < q \le n+1.$$

Every vertex v_{r_s} corresponds to the crossing of two lines l_{s_1} and l_{s_2}, where $\mathbf{p}$ changes from the line l_{s_1} to the line l_{s_2}. We associate to $\mathbf{p}$ the vector

$$c_{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{s=1}^{m} c_{(s_1,s_2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)},$$

where we set $c_{(i,j)} := -c_{(j,i)}$ if $i > j$ and $c_{(i,i)} := 0$.

Definition 5. The following polyhedral cone will be called *Gleizer-Postnikov cone* (due to Gleizer-Postnikov [16]):

$$C_{\underline{w}} = \{(x_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)} \mid (c_{\mathbf{p}})^t (x_{i,j}) \ge 0, \forall \mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}\}.$$

Example 2. Consider the reduced expression $\underline{w} = \underline{w}_0 = s_1 s_2 s_1$ for SL_3. We endow the pseudoline arrangement with the orientation for (l_1, l_2), i.e. l_1 is oriented to the left and l_2, l_3 are oriented to the right, see Figure 4. There are two GP-paths in the diagram from L_1 to L_2:

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = L_1 \to v_{(1,3)} \to v_{(1,2)} \to v_{(2,3)} \to L_2 \text{ and } \mathbf{p}_2 = L_1 \to v_{(1,3)} \to v_{(2,3)} \to L_2.$$

These yield $c_{\mathbf{p}_1} = c_{(1,2)}$ and $c_{\mathbf{p}_2} = c_{(1,3)} - c_{(2,3)}$. Similarly the orientation for $(2, 3)$ induces a path $\mathbf{p}_3 = L_2 \to v_{(2,3)} \to L_3$ with $c_{\mathbf{p}_3} = c_{(2,3)}$. The cone C_{121} is given by the inequalities

$$\{(x_{1,2}, x_{1,3}, x_{2,3}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_{1,2} \ge 0, x_{1,3} \ge x_{2,3} \ge 0\}.$$

In this paper, we are considering a weighted version of this cone to incorporate a natural multigrading. This cone will be defined in $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}$, where the additional basis elements are indexed $c_1, \dots, c_n$. By some abuse of notation we will denote by $c_{\mathbf{p}}$ also the vector $(c_{\mathbf{p}}, 0 \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)} \times \{0\}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}$.

We introduce the weight condition vectors. Let again $\underline{w}$ be the reduced expression $\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$. For a fixed i, let $l_1, \dots, l_{n_i}$ be the positions in the reduced expression such that $s_{l_j} = s_i$. Similarly, let $k_1, \dots, k_t$ denote the positions where $s_{k_j} = s_{i+1}$ or $s_{k_j} = s_{i-1}$. Let $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, where n_i is the number of s_i appearing in $\underline{w}$. Suppose $s_{i_j} = s_i$, then we set (for the *weight inequalities*)

$$\mathbf{d}_{i,j} := c_i - c_{\beta_{l_j}} - 2 \sum_{l_p > l_j} c_{\beta_{l_p}} + \sum_{k_p > l_j} c_{\beta_{k_p}}.$$

Definition 6. The *weighted Gleizer-Postnikov cone* $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}} = \left\{ (x_{p,q}, x_l) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} (c_{\mathbf{p}})^t (x_{p,q}) \geq 0 \,, & \forall\, \mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}, \\ (\mathbf{d}_{i,j})^t (x_{p,q}, x_l) \geq 0, \,, & \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

Example 3. Consider $w = w_0$ the longest word and fix the reduced expression $\underline{w_0} = s_1 s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1 \dots s_n s_{n-1} \dots s_3 s_2 s_1$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ all GP-paths in the diagram with orientation (l_i, l_{i+1}) are of form

$$\mathbf{p} = L_i \to c_{(i,n+1)} \to c_{(i,n)} \to \cdots \to c_{(i,j)} \to c_{(i+1,j)} \to \cdots \to c_{(i+1,n)} \to L_{i+1}.$$

In particular, the GP-cone $C_{\underline{w}_0}$ is described by the normal vectors $c_{(i,j+1)} - c_{(i+1,j+1)}$ and $c_{(i,i+1)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i+1 < j \leq n$.
The weight restriction vectors are (for all $i < j$):

$$c_{j-i} - c_{(i,j)} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-j} c_{(i+k,j+k)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-j-1} c_{(i+k,j+1+k)} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} c_{(i+1+k,j+k)}.$$

2.2. **The second cone.** We associate to the set of all GP-paths $\mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}$ a second cone. In this setup, the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}$ is indexed by the faces of the pseudoline arrangement. Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}$. We denote by $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ the area on the left side of $\mathbf{p}$, i.e. the area enclosed by $\mathbf{p}$. Note that $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a non-empty union of faces F in the pseudoline arrangement for non-trivial $\mathbf{p}$. We associate to $\mathbf{p}$ the vector

$$e_{\mathbf{p}} = - \sum_{F \subset A_{\mathbf{p}}} e_F \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}.$$

With a little abuse of notation we denote also by $e_{\mathbf{p}}$ also the vector in $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}$ obtained by projecting onto the first $\ell(w)$ coordinates (forgetting the coordinates belonging to the faces that are unbounded to the left, these coordinates are equal to 0 in $e_{\mathbf{p}}$ anyway).

Definition 7. For a reduced expression $\underline{w} \in S_{n+1}$, we define the second cone

$$S_{\underline{w}} = \{(x_F) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)} \mid (e_{\mathbf{p}})^t (x_F) \geq 0, \forall\, \mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}\}.$$

Again, we are interested in a weighted cone for the pseudoline arrangement. For this, we associate to every level $1 \leq i \leq n$ a sequence of faces. Let F_i be the unbounded area at the left end of the diagram at level i. Denote by $F_{\beta_{l_1}}, \dots, F_{\beta_{l_{n_i}}}$, read from left to right in the pseudoline arrangement, the other faces at this level.

$F_{\beta_{l_{n_i}}}$ is unbounded to the right. We define $A_i := F_i \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i-1} F_{l_j}$, then $A_i \cap A_{i'} = \varnothing$ if $i \neq i'$. It is called the *weight area* associated to the level i. For each face F_{l_j} of level i for $1 \leq j < n_i$, which is bounded to the right we denote

$$f_{i,j} = -e_{F_i} - \sum_{r=1}^{j-1} e_{F_{l_r}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}.$$

Definition 8. The cone $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}} = \left\{ (x_F) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{ll} (e_{\mathbf{p}})^t(x_F) \geq 0\,, & \forall\, \mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}, \\ (f_{i,j})^t(x_F) \geq 0\,, & \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j < n_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

The additional inequalities induced by the $f_{i,j}$ will be called *weight inequalities*.

Example 4. Consider $n = 5$ and the reduced expression $\underline{w}_0 = s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1s_4s_3s_2s_1$. We have seen in Example 3 how all GP-paths look for this word. Take the path $\mathbf{p} = L_1 \to c_{(1,5)} \to c_{(1,4)} \to c_{(1,3)} \to c_{(1,2)} \to c_{(2,3)} \to c_{(2,4)} \to c_{(2,5)} \to L_2$. The area $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ associated to this path for $i = 1$ is colored blue in Figure 7. The weight area A_2 corresponding to level 2 is also shown in Figure 7 in red. The two red horizontal lines indicate level 2 is.



FIGURE 7. The area $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ for $\mathbf{p}$ as in Example 4 in blue and the level area A_2 in red.

Example 5. Consider $\underline{w} = \underline{w}_0 = s_1s_2s_1s_3s_2s_1 \ldots s_ns_{n-1} \ldots s_3s_2s_1$. Recall the GP-path $\mathbf{p}$ from Example 3. The assigned area is $A_{\mathbf{p}} = F_{(i,j)} \cup F_{(i,j+1)} \cup \cdots \cup F_{(i,n)} \cup F_{(i,n+1)}$ for $F_{(i,k)}$ the area bounded by $c_{(i,k)}$ to the left. Hence, the cone $S_{\underline{w}_0}$ is given by normal vectors

$$-e_{F_{(i,j)}} - e_{F_{(i,j+1)}} - \cdots - e_{F_{(i,n)}} - e_{F_{(i,n+1)}}.$$

The additional normal vectors for the weight inequalities to obtain the cone $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ are of form

$$-e_{F_i} - e_{F_{(1,i+1)}} - e_{F_{(2,i+2)}} - \cdots - e_{F_{(j,i+j)}},$$

for $1 \leq l \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n - l + 1$.

2.3. **Mutation on the second cone.** Let X be a mutable face of the pseudoline arrangement associated to $\underline{w}$. Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{w}}$ and $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ be the enclosed area. Then there are only four different cases, how this area is transformed under the mutation μ_X. They follow directly from the behavior of the GP-paths under mutation as in Figure 6 and Section 1.5. A mutable face X has four direct neighbors. These are the faces that are connected to X by an arrow in the corresponding quiver (see Definition 3). Depending on the direction of the arrow, we call these faces *predecessor* for outgoing arrows, resp. *successor* for incoming arrows of X.

0. Case: The face X is not contained in $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ and neither are any predecessors or successors of X, then $\mu_X(A_{\mathbf{p}}) = A_{\mathbf{p}}$.
1. Case: The number of predecessors and the number of successors of X in $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ are equal. If X is contained in $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ then $\mu_X(A_{\mathbf{p}}) = A_{\mathbf{p}} \setminus X$. If X is not contained in $A_{\mathbf{p}}$, then $\mu_X(A_{\mathbf{p}}) = A_{\mathbf{p}} \cup X$.
2. Case: There are less predecessors than successors of X in $A_{\mathbf{p}}$. In this case we always have $X \subset A_{\mathbf{p}}$. After mutation, $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ splits into two areas, $\mu_X(A_{\mathbf{p}}) = A_{\mathbf{p}_1} \cup A_{\mathbf{p}_2}$. Where $A_{\mathbf{p}_1} = A_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $A_{\mathbf{p}_2} = A_{\mathbf{p}} \setminus X$.
3. Case: There are more predecessors than successors of X in $A_{\mathbf{p}}$. If $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ contains X, it does not change under mutation, i.e. $\mu_X(A_{\mathbf{p}}) = A_{\mathbf{p}}$. If $X \not\subset A_{\mathbf{p}}$, after mutation the area $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ does not exist anymore, i.e. $\mu_X(A_{\mathbf{p}}) = \varnothing$.

The weight areas A_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are also changed under mutation. If X is given by a triple $s_i s_{i+1} s_i$, the level of X is i, hence $X \subset A_i$. After mutation X is given by the triple $s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ and so $\mu_X(X) \subset \mu_X(A_{i+1})$.

2.4. **A unimodular equivalence.** We will define a map $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \to \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}$ on the basis $\{-e_{F_k}\}$ associated to faces F_k of the pseudoline arrangement.

For a fixed level $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote $\beta_{l_1}, \ldots, \beta_{l_{n_i}}$ all crossings of level i and $\beta_{k_1}, \ldots, \beta_{k_t}$ all crossings of level $i-1$ or $i+1$.

Let F_{l_j} be bounded to the left and of level i with bounding crossing β_{l_j}. Then $F_{l_{j+1}}$ is the neighbored face to the right of same level i with bounding corssing $\beta_{l_{j+1}}$, that exists if $j < n_i$.

Now $\beta_{k_r}, \ldots, \beta_{k_r+s}$ for $l_j < k_r \leq k_{r+1} \leq \cdots \leq k_{r+s} < l_{j+1}$ are the crossing corresponding to the remaining upper and lower vertices of the face F_{l_j}. Let $\beta_{k_1}, \ldots, \beta_{k_t}$ be as before all other corssings of either level $i+1$ or $i-1$.

Definition 9. We define the linear map $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \to \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n}$. For F_{l_j} bounded to the left

$$\Psi(-e_{F_{l_j}}) = c_{\beta_{l_j}} + c_{\beta_{l_{j+1}}} - \sum_{n=0}^{s} c_{\beta_{k_{r+n}}}.$$

For faces F_i unbounded to the left

$$\Psi(-e_{F_i}) = c_i - 2\sum_{j=i}^{n_i} c_{\beta_{l_j}} + \sum_{r=1}^{t} c_{\beta_{k_r}}.$$

Proposition 2. For every GP-path $\mathbf{p}$ we have

$$\Psi(e_{\mathbf{p}}) = c_{\mathbf{p}}.$$

In particular, Ψ sends the normal vector $e_{\mathbf{p}}$ induced by $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ to the normal vector $c_{\mathbf{p}}$ induced by $\mathbf{p}$.

Proof. Let $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ be the area bounded by a GP-path $\mathbf{p}$. Then $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the union of faces $\bigcup_{F \subset A_{\mathbf{p}}} F = A_{\mathbf{p}}$. There are only two possibilities for a path at a crossing: either it changes the line or not. If $\mathbf{p}$ does not change the line at a crossing (i,j), then the variable $c_{(i,j)}$ does not appear in $c_{\mathbf{p}}$. For $A_{\mathbf{p}}$, this means that two faces, F_1 and F_2, are adjacent to (i,j). Exactly one of the two, say F_1, is bounded by (i,j) to the left where for F_2, (i,j) is part of the upper or lower boundary. Hence $\Psi(e_{\mathbf{p}})$ contains $c_{(i,j)}$ once with positive and once with negative sign and they cancel each other out in $c_{\mathbf{p}}$.

Assume $\mathbf{p}$ changes the line at the crossing (i,j). Figure 8 shows the three possible orientations of a crossing, each yielding two possibilities for the path. If in situation 1a, there is only one face F in $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ bounded by (i,j) to the left and so $c_{(i,j)}$ appears only once with positive sign in $\Psi(e_{\mathbf{p}})$. The path $\mathbf{p}$ changes from l_i to l_j with $i<j$, so $c_{\mathbf{p}}$ contains $c_{(i,j)}$ with a positive sign too. In cases 2a and 3a, $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ contains only one face bounded by (i,j) below resp. above. Hence $c_{(i,j)}$ appears only once with negative sign in $\Psi(e_{\mathbf{p}})$. The same is true for $c_{\mathbf{p}}$: in both cases $\mathbf{p}$ changes from line l_j to l_i but $i<j$. Three cases remain to be checked, 1b, 2b and 3b. In all of them $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ contains three faces F_1, F_2 and F_3 adjacent to (i,j). In case 1b, (i,j) bounds one face to the left and the other two from above, resp. below. This implies that $c_{(i,j)}$ appears with factor -1 in $\Psi(e_{\mathbf{p}})$. As $\mathbf{p}$ changes from l_j to l_i the same is true for $c_{\mathbf{p}}$. For 2b and 3b we are in the opposite case: two faces in $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ are bounded to the left resp right by (i,j) and only one from above, resp below. Hence, $\Psi(e_{\mathbf{p}})$ contains $c_{(i,j)}$ with a positive sign and the same is true for $c_{\mathbf{p}}$, as $\mathbf{p}$ changes from line l_i to line l_j.



FIGURE 8. A path $\mathbf{p}$ changing the line at a crossing (i,j) and the corresponding area $A_{\mathbf{p}}$.

□

It remains to show that the weight inequalities are preserved under Ψ.

Proposition 3. Let F_{l_j} be a face of level i. Then $\Psi(f_{i,j})$ is a weight inequality of the weighted Gleizer-Postnikov cone.

Proof. In the above notation F_{l_j} if bounded to the left by β_{l_j} and of level i. Further, F_i is the unbounded face to the left of level i and F_{l_k} for $k \neq j, 1 \leq k < n_i$ are the other bounded faces of level i. Then by definition we have $\Psi(-e_{F_i}) = \mathbf{d}_{i,n_i}$ and by induction

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(f_{i,j+1}) &= \Psi(-e_{F_i}) + \sum_{p=1}^{j} \Psi(-e_{F_{l_p}}) \\
&= \Psi(-e_{F_{l_j}}) + \Psi(f_{i,j}) \\
&= \Psi(-e_{F_{l_j}}) + \mathbf{d}_{i,n_i-j} \\
&= c_{\beta_{l_j}} + c_{\beta_{l_{j+1}}} - \sum_{n=0}^{s} c_{\beta_{k_{r+n}}} + \mathbf{d}_{i,n_i-j} \\
&= \mathbf{d}_{i,n_i-(j+1)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Combining Proposition 2 and Proposition 3 yields the following

Theorem 1. For any reduced expression $\underline{w} \in S_{n+1}$, the cones $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}}$ are unimodularly equivalent via the linear map Ψ.

Let $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the projection onto the last n coordinates, called *weight coordinates*. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we consider the preimage under π_1 in the two cones. Since the map Ψ is weight preserving, e.g. sends the weight condition vectors of $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}}$ to the weight condition vectors in $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$, we deduce the following.

Corollary 1. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the map Ψ induces a unimodular equivalence between the polytopes

$$\pi_1^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}} \text{ and } \pi_1^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}.$$

Similarly, let π_2 be the projection along the weight coordinates $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \to \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}$. Then $\pi_2(\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}}) = S_{\underline{w}}$ and $\pi_2(\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}) = C_{\underline{w}}$ and we have

Corollary 2. The maps Ψ induces a linear transformation of the polyhedral cones $S_{\underline{w}}$ and $C_{\underline{w}}$ for every reduced expression $\underline{w}$ of an element $w \in S_{n+1}$.

3. The first cone is the string cone

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. We fix the triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^-$, where $\mathfrak{b}^+ := \mathfrak{n}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ denotes the Borel subalgebra of upper triangular matrices. We denote the corresponding subgroups of $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ as B^+ and B^- the Borel subgroups, as U^+ and U^- the maximal unipotent subgroups and as T the torus. For any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$, we denote by $U(\mathfrak{a})$ the universal enveloping algebra. Let Δ^+ be the set of positive roots, $\alpha_{i,j} := \alpha_i + \ldots + \alpha_j$ where α_i denotes the simple roots. Let $W = S_{n+1}$ be the Weyl group with s_i being the reflection at α_i. We denote by f_α a root vector for the root $-\alpha$, e_α a root vector for α and $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, f_\alpha]$. Then the PBW theorem states that ordered monomials in f_α form a basis of $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$.

Let $P \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the lattice of integral weights spanned by the fundamental weights $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$ and P^+ the monoid of dominant integral weights. For $\lambda \in P^+$ let $V(\lambda)$ be the irreducible highest weight module of highest weight λ and v_λ a highest weight vector. Then $V(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{n}^-).v_\lambda$. For $w \in W$ and $\lambda \in P^+$, $v_{w(\lambda)}$ is an extremal weight vector of weight $w(\lambda)$ in $V(\lambda)$. The Demazure submodule $V_w(\lambda)$ is defined as $V_w(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{b}^+).v_{w(\lambda)}$.

Let $\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ be a reduced expression. Then for any $\lambda \in P^+$

$$\{f_{\alpha_{i_1}}^{s_{i_1}} \cdots f_{\alpha_{i_{\ell(w)}}}^{s_{i_{\ell(w)}}}.v_\lambda \in V(\lambda) \mid s_{i_j} \geq 0\}$$

forms a spanning set of $V_w(\lambda)$ as a vector space. Littelmann [18] introduced in the context of quantum groups and crystal bases the so called (weighted) string cones and string polytopes $Q_{\underline{w}}(\lambda)$. The idea is to define a polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}$ whose lattice points parametrize a monomial basis of $V_w(\lambda)$. A lattice point is $(m_{i_j}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}$ is mapped to the vector $f_{\alpha_{i_1}}^{m_{i_1}} \cdots f_{\alpha_{i_{\ell(w)}}}^{m_{i_{\ell(w)}}}.v_\lambda$. These polytopes depend on the reduced expression and the transformation map from $Q_{\underline{w}}(\lambda)$ to $Q_{\underline{w}'}(\lambda)$ for two reduced expression of the same Weyl group element, is piecewise linear only.

In [18], a recursive formula for $Q_{\underline{w}}(\lambda)$ has been provided (another non-recursive formula for $Q_{\underline{w}_0}(\lambda)$ has been provided in [4], using subword sequences in $\underline{w}_0$). The

string cone for $\underline{w}$ is then the convex hull of all $Q_{\underline{w}}(\lambda)$ and the weighted string cone is then

$$\operatorname{con}\left(\bigcup_{\lambda\in P^+} Q_{\underline{w}}(\lambda)\times\lambda\right)\in\mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)}\times\mathbb{R}^n.$$

The string polytope $Q_{\underline{w}}(\lambda)$ is then the intersection of the weighted string cone with the hyperplane defined by $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}^n$. The lattice points in the weighted string cone parametrize a basis of $\mathbb{C}[SL_{n+1}/U^+]$.

Gleizer and Postnikov developed in [16] a combinatorial model on pseudoline arrangements associated with $\underline{w_0}$ and showed that the string cone can be described using Gleizer-Postnikov paths. They showed that the string cone is $C_{\underline{w_0}}$. Now using the description from [18], one can extend their construction and obtain the weighted string cone for SL_{n+1}/U^+ as $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w_0}}$ (Definition 5).

3.1. **String cones for Schubert varieties.** The picture is less complete for Schubert varieties. Let $w\in S_{n+1}$ and consider the Schubert variety $X(w)=\overline{B^+wB^+/B}$. Then the global sections of $X(w)$ on an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ can be identified with the dual of the Demazure submodule $V_w(\lambda)\subset V(\lambda)$.

Let $\underline{w}=s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ be a reduced expression of $w\in S_{n+1}$. We extend $\underline{w}$ to the *right* to obtain a reduced expression $\underline{w_0}=s_{i_1}\cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}\cdots s_{i_N}$. This extension is not unique but the results are independent of the extension. Caldero realized the string polytope for the reduced expression $\underline{w}$ for the Demazure module $V_w(\lambda)$ as a face of the string polytope $Q_{\underline{w_0}}(\lambda)$ in [5]. He proved the following theorem.

Theorem. The string cone for the Schubert variety $X(w)=\overline{B^+wB^+/B^+}$ and the reduced expression $\underline{w}$ is equal to the face of $C_{\underline{w_0}}$ defined by setting the coordinates corresponding to the simple reflections $s_{i_{\ell(w)+1}}\cdots s_{i_N}$ to 0.

We show that this face is actually $C_{\underline{w}}$ (Theorem 2). Even more, Calderos result can be extended to the weighted string cone. Then the lattice points parametrize a basis of $\mathbb{C}[B^+wB^+/U^+]$. So we show that the face in $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w_0}}$ defined by setting the additional coordinates to 0, is the weighted string cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$.

In order to do so we need to show that there is a bijection between the faces of $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$ and the intersection of the faces of $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w_0}}$ with the subspace defined by $x_{i_{\ell(w)+1}}=\cdots=x_{i_N}=0$.

Using Proposition 4 we will deduce that the weighted Gleizer-Postnikov cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$ is the weighted string cone for $X(w)$ with reduced expression $\underline{w}$. From now on $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$ denotes a GP-path for $\underline{w}$.

Definition 10. Let $\underline{w}$ be a reduced expression and $\underline{w_0}$ an extension to the right of $\underline{w}$. Let $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w_0}}$ be a GP-path for $\underline{w_0}$. We define $\operatorname{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w_0}})$ to be the *restriction* of $\underline{w_0}$ to the pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}$. This restriction is obtained by setting all $c_\alpha=0$ if $w(\alpha)>0$. To an open endpoint on line l_i we associate the vertex $\hat{L}_i$.

The following procedure will allow us to define an induced GP-path for $\underline{w_0}$ for a given GP-path $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$. Consider a $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}=\hat{L}_{i-l}\to v_{r_1}\to\cdots\to v_{r_m}\to\hat{L}_{i+m}$ in a pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}$ with orientation (l_i,l_{i+1}). Extend $\underline{w}$ to the right to $\underline{w_0}$, i.e. extend the pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}$ to the right to an arrangement for $\underline{w_0}$ and keep the orientation.

Starting from $\hat{L}_{i+m}$ follow line l_{i+m} to the next crossing with a line l_{i+m-k_1} for some $1 \leq k_1 \leq m-1$. Then follow the l_{i+m-k_1} until the next crossing with a line $l_{i+m-k_1-k_2}$ $(1 \leq k_2 \leq m-k_1-1)$ and proceed always with changing to lines closer to l_{i+1}. After a finite number of changes there is a crossing with l_{i+1} by Proposition 1. Continue on l_{i+1} to L_{i+1}. For the path from L_i to $\hat{L}_{i-l}$ we apply a similar procedure going against the orientation of the lines and always changing to lines l_{i-l+n_r} closer to l_i until line l_i.

Definition 11. The *induced* GP-path $\mathrm{ind}_{\underline{w}_0}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}})$ from a path $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$ is the GP-path for $\underline{w}_0$ obtained from the above construction.

Proposition 4. For every path $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$ there exists a path $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$ such that, $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}) = \mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathrm{ind}_{\underline{w}_0}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}})) = \mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}} = \hat{L}_{i-l} \to v_{r_1} \to \cdots \to v_{r_m} \to \hat{L}_{i+m}$ for the orientation (l_i, l_{i+1}). Assume $\alpha_i \in \{\alpha \mid w^{-1}(\alpha) < 0\}$ and extend $\underline{w}$ to $\underline{w}_0$. Consider the pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}_0$. Note that this is not unique, as it depends on the extension. By construction $\mathrm{ind}_{\underline{w}_0}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}})$ is a GP-path for $\underline{w}_0$ satisfying $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathrm{ind}_{\underline{w}_0}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}})) = \mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$. □

Proposition 5. Let $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$ be a GP-path for $\underline{w}_0$, then $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0})$ is either empty or the union of GP-paths for $\underline{w}$.

Proof. The restriction $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{w_0})$ decomposes into a union of paths in the pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}$. As the definition of GP-paths is local, it follows that all paths in this union are rigorous, hence GP-paths for $\underline{w}$. □

Let $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$ be a GP-path and $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}) = \mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}} \cup \mathbf{p}'_{\underline{w}}$ for two GP-paths $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}, \mathbf{p}'_{\underline{w}}$. We can decompose the normal vector of $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$ as $c_{\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}} = \underline{c}_1 + \underline{c}_2 + \underline{c}_3 + \underline{c}_4 + \underline{c}_5$. The vectors $\underline{c}_i$ correspod to segments of $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$ as illustrated in Figure 9 below. The normal vector for $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0})$ is given by $c_{\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0})} = \underline{c}_2 + \underline{c}_4$, the normal vector of $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$ is $c_{\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}} = \underline{c}_2$ and the normal vector of $\mathbf{p}'_{\underline{w}}$ is $c_{\mathbf{p}'_{\underline{w}}} = \underline{c}_4$ (see Example 6). Now the condition given by $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0})$ is redundant, as it is imposed by the conditions for $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$ and $\mathbf{p}'_{\underline{w}}$, which are both by Proposition 4 obtained by restriction. So we see that the conditions obtained from all restricted GP-paths of $\underline{w}_0$ define the same cone as the conditions obtained from all GP-paths of $\underline{w}$. This implies the following theorem.

Theorem 2. For every $w \in S_{n+1}$ with reduced expression $\underline{w}$, the associated weighted string cone is the weighted Gleizer-Postnikov cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$.

Example 6. Consider $\underline{w} = s_2 s_1 s_3$ and extend to $\underline{w}_0 = s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_3 s_1$ for SL_4. We draw the pseudoline arrangement and endow it with the orientation for (l_2, l_3). Figure 9 shows a GP-path $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$. Its restriction $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0})$ consists of two GP-paths for $\underline{w}$ shown in blue the figure. Let $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$ denote the upper blue path and $\mathbf{p}'_{\underline{w}}$ the lower blue path to the left of the dashed line. Each segment of the path $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$ has an associated normal vector $\underline{c}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 5$ as shown in the figure.

4. The second cone is the tropicalization of a superpotential

4.1. **Cluster algebras and cluster varieties.** We refer for the definition of a cluster algebra to the paper by Fomin and Zelevinsky [9]. We denote by C a cluster



FIGURE 9. A path $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$ for $\underline{w}_0 = s_1s_3s_2s_3s_1s_2$ that restricts to two paths $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}}$ and $\mathbf{p}'_{\underline{w}}$ for $\underline{w} = s_3s_1s_2$. The labels $\underline{c}_i$ denote the normal vectors corresponding to each fragment of $\mathbf{p}_{\underline{w}_0}$.

algebra and s a cluster seed. The initial seed defining a cluster algebra is associated to a fixed initial reduced expression $\underline{w}$ and the corresponding quiver $\Gamma_{\underline{w}}$ is as in Definition 3. The initial seed will be denoted $s_{\underline{w}} = s_0$. For an arbitrary seed s, the associted quiver is denoted by Γ_s.

For the definition of $\mathcal{A}$- and $\mathcal{X}$-cluster varieties we refer to Fock and Goncharov [8]. We will recall necessary notions but not in the most general setup as we are only interested in a particular case where the cluster algebra is associated to a quiver.

Let s be an arbitrary seed in a cluster algebra C with cluster variables $a_1, \dots, a_n$. We interpret a_i as a function on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n = \mathcal{A}_s$ sending the standard basis vector f_i to 1 and all the other f_j to zero if $j \neq i$. Let M be the lattice spanned by $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ and identify $\mathcal{A}_s = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[M]$. Let N be the dual lattice with dual basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_s = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[N]$. The mutation of the seed s to a seed $\mu_k(s)$ induces birational morphisms between the tori $\mathcal{A}_s$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mu_k(s)}$ resp. $\mathcal{X}_s$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mu_k(s)}$, see Proposition 2.4 in [10]. This enables us to define the following.

Definition 12. The *$\mathcal{A}$-cluster variety* (resp. *$\mathcal{X}$-cluster variety*) is glued from the tori $\mathcal{A}_s$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}_s$) along the birational morphisms induced by cluster mutation, i.e.

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{s \text{ seed}} \mathcal{A}_s \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{s \text{ seed}} \mathcal{X}_s.$$

The $\mathcal{X}$-cluster variety is also called *Fock–Goncharov dual* of the cluster variety $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\{e'_i\}$ be the basis of N associated to $\mathcal{X}_{\mu_k(s)}$. Further let

$$\epsilon_{i,k} = \sharp\{\text{ arrows from } i \text{ to } k\} - \sharp\{\text{ arrows from } k \text{ to } i\}$$

in the quiver Γ_s associated to the seed s.

Definition 13. The basis $\{e'_i\}$ of N associated to $\mathcal{X}_{\mu_k(s)}$ is obtained from the basis $\{e_i\}$ of N associated to $\mathcal{X}_s$ as follows

$$e'_i = \begin{cases} e_i + \max\{0, \epsilon_{i,k}\}e_k & \text{if } i \neq k \\ -e_k & \text{if } i = k. \end{cases}$$

The birational maps $\mu_k : \mathcal{X}_s \to \mathcal{X}_{\mu_k(s)}$ corresponding to the *mutation* are defined by the pullback of functions μ_k^* given on monomials z^n for $n \in N$

$$\mu_k^*(z^n) = z^n(1 + z^{e_k})^{-\{n,e_k\}}. \tag{4.1}$$

here $\{\ ,\ \}$ is the bilinear form on $N \times N$ defined on basis vectors by $\{e_i, e_j\} = \epsilon_{i,j}$ with $\{e_i, e_j\} = -\{e_j, e_i\}$.

4.2. **Double Bruhat cells and the Superpotential.** Let τ and w be a pair of elements in S_{n+1} and

$$G^{\tau,w} := B^- \tau B^- \cap B^+ w B^+$$

be the corresponding *double Bruhat cell*. We are particularly interested in the case $G^{e,w}$, where e is the identity element and

$$G^{e,w} = B^- \cap B^+ w B^+.$$

The reduced double Bruhat cell is

$$L^{e,w} = U^- \cap B^+ w B^+.$$

In fact we have $L^{e,w} \cong B^+ w B^+/B^+$ the *Schubert cell* . The coordinate ring of a double Bruhat cell $G^{e,w}$ (as well as of the reduced double Bruhat cell) is an upper cluster algebra (see [9], [3], [15], and recently further results from [17]) endowing $G^{e,w}$ with the structure of an $\mathcal{A}$-cluster variety. The associated cluster algebra is defined by the quiver $\Gamma_{\underline{w}}$ for a fixed initial reduced expression $\underline{w}$ of w. The two cluster algebras for the double Bruhat cell and its reduced double Bruhat cell only differ by their coefficient algebras, see Chapter 5.2 in [15]. In fact, the cluster algebra of the double Bruhat cell is isomorphic to the coordinate ring of $B^+ w B^+/U^+$.

Corresponding to the $\mathcal{A}$-cluster variety $G^{e,w}$ we consider the Fock–Goncharov dual variety $\mathcal{X}$. We refer to [20, Section 2] for a very detailed discussion. The cluster variety $\mathcal{X}$ comes with a function $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ called *superpotential*. Restricted to a torus $\mathcal{X}_s$ for a seed s it can be given explicitly in the variables corresponding to s. For the double Bruhat cell G^{e,w_0} Magee gave the description of W for the initial seed corresponding to the reduced expression $\underline{w}_0 = s_1\, s_2 s_1\, s_3 s_2 s_1\, \ldots\, s_n \ldots s_1$. We will now focus on G^{e,w_0} and summarize his result.

Following [11] we want to associate ϑ-functions to the frozen variables of the double Bruhat cell corresponding to boundary divisors. For the special case of G^{e,w_0} and the initial seed s_0 corresponding to the reduced expression $\underline{w}_0 = s_1\, s_2 s_1\, s_3 s_2 s_1\, \ldots\, s_n \ldots s_1$, these ϑ-functions have been computed explicitly in [19]. For every frozen vertex there is a sequence of mutations from s_0 to a seed, where this vertex is a sink. We call a seed with this property *optimized* for this frozen vertex. On optimized seeds the ϑ-functions are given as monomials. To obtain the function on the inital seed, this monomial is pulled back along the reverse mutation sequence using Equation 4.1. Recall the notation of the initial seed quiver from Section 1.3 and Figure 3. The frozen vertices on the right are labelled $v_{1,n+1}, \ldots, v_{n,n+1}$ and $v_n, \ldots, v_1$ on the left, each from top to bottom. The ϑ-functions on the initial seed s_0 are of form (see Corollary 24 in [19])

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{i,n+1} &= z^{-e_{i,n+1}} + z^{-e_{i,n+1}-e_{i,n}} + \cdots + z^{-e_{i,n+1}-e_{i,n}\cdots-e_{i,2}} \\ \vartheta_i &= z^{-e_i} + z^{-e_i-e_{1,i+1}} + \cdots + z^{-e_i-e_{1,i+1}-e_{2,i+2}\cdots-e_{n-i,n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the following definition is a Theorem due to Magee [19].

Definition 14. Let G^{e,w_0} be the double Bruhat cell with Fock-Goncharov dual $\mathcal{X}$. Then the *superpotential* $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ on a given seed torus $\mathcal{X}_s$ is the sum of all

ϑ-functions for the seed s, i.e. $W|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vartheta_{i,n+1}|_{\mathcal{X}_s} + \vartheta_i|_{\mathcal{X}_s}$. For the initial seed torus $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ we have

$$W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} z^{-\sum_{j=0}^{k} e_{i,n+1-j}} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} z^{-e_i-\sum_{j=1}^{k} e_{j,i+j}}\Big).$$

Example 7. Consider SL_3 and the initial seed with quiver $\Gamma_{s_1s_2s_1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}} &= \vartheta_{1,3} + \vartheta_{2,3} + \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2 \\ &= z^{-e_{1,3}} + z^{-e_{1,3}-e_{1,2}} + z^{-e_{2,3}} + z^{-e_1} + z^{-e_1-e_{1,2}} + z^{-e_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Given the pullback on functions by Equation 4.1 and the superpotential on the initial seed s_0 for $\underline{w}_0$, the restriction of the superpotential to any seed s can be computed once a sequence of mutations from s to s_0 is found.

4.3. **Cones associated to the Superpotential.** Consider a Laurent polynomial $q(x) = \sum_i a_i x^{m^i} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ for $m^i \in \mathbb{Z}^r, a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x^{m^i} = x_1^{m_1^i} \cdots x_r^{m_r^i}$. The tropicalization of q is defined as $q^{trop}(x) = \min_{a_i \neq 0}\{m_1^i x_1 + \cdots + m_r^i x_r\}$. In particular, $q^{trop}(x) \geq 0$ translates to $m_1^i x_1 + \cdots + m_r^i x_r \geq 0$ for all i with $a_i \neq 0$. In this manner the tropicalization of a Laurent polynomial defines a polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}^r$.

Definition 15. Let G^{e,w_0} be the double Bruhat cell. For every seed s the *superpotential cone* associated to $W|_{\mathcal{X}_s} : \mathcal{X}_s \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\Xi_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N+n} \mid W^{trop}|_{\mathcal{X}_s}(x) \geq 0\}.$$

Proposition 6. Consider the inital seed s_0. Then the superpotential cone Ξ_{s_0} is unimodularly equivalent to the weighted string cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$, where $\underline{w}_0$ is the initial reduced expression of w_0.

Proof. In [19], an unimodular equivalence between the superpotential cone Ξ_{s_0} and the Gelfand-Tsetlin cone in [13] has been provided. Littelmann proved in [18] an unimodular equivalence between the Gelfand-Tsetlin cone and $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$, and hence the result follows. □

Now suppose we have the tropicalized cone of a superpotential for a fixed seed. The next proposition describes how the facets of this cone are changed under mutation from $\underline{w}_0$ to $\underline{w}_0'$.

Consider a seed $s = s_{\underline{w}_0}$ corresponding to a reduced expression $\underline{w}_0$ and a mutation at the vertex v_k of the quiver $\Gamma_s = \Gamma_{\underline{w}_0}$ that is also a mutation of the underlying pseudoline arrangement. In particular, this implies that v_k has exactly two incoming arrows, denote the vertices at their sources v_i and v_j, and two outgoing arrows, denote the vertices at their targets v_p and v_q. Using the mutation on functions $f : \mathcal{X}_s \to \mathbb{C}$ defined in Equation 4.1 it is an easy observation that the cases 0 to 3 in Proposition 7 are the only possibilities how a given ϑ-function $\vartheta|_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ mutates to $\vartheta|_{\mathcal{X}_{s'}}$, where $\mathcal{X}_{s'}$ is the torus associated to the seed $s' = s_{\underline{w}_0'} = \mu_k(s)$ associated to another reduced expression $\underline{w}_0'$ obtained from $\underline{w}_0$ by a braid move.

Proposition 7. Let s, s' be as above and $z^{\underline{m}}$ a monomial in $\vartheta|_{\mathcal{X}_s}$, where $\underline{m} = \sum a_i e_i$, for the basis $\{e_i\}$ of N associated to $\mathcal{X}_s$. In fact, $a_i \in \{0, -1\}$ for all i. Let $\{e_i'\}$ be the basis of N associated to $\mathcal{X}_{s'}$ and let $\underline{m}' = \sum a_i e_i'$ with the same coefficients a_i as for $\underline{m}$. The following are all possible forms for $\mu_k^*(z^{\underline{m}})$, a monomial in $\mu_k^*(\vartheta|_{\mathcal{X}_s}) = \vartheta|_{\mathcal{X}_{s'}}$.

0. Case: The coefficients a_l for $l \in \{i, j, k, p, q\}$ are all zero. Then $\mu_k^*(z^{\underline{m}}) = z^{\underline{m}'}$.

1. Case: The number of nonzero coefficients a_i and a_j is the same as the number of nonzero a_p and a_q. If $a_k = -1$ then $\mu_k^*(z^{\underline{m}}) = z^{\underline{m}'+e'_k}$, otherwise $\mu_k^*(z^{\underline{m}}) = z^{\underline{m}'-e'_k}$.
2. Case: The number of nonzero coefficients a_i and a_j is smaller than the number of nonzero a_p and a_q.. Then $\mu_k^*(z^{\underline{m}}) = z^{\underline{m}'_1} + z^{\underline{m}'_2}$ with $\underline{m}'_1 = \underline{m}'$ and $\underline{m}'_2 = \underline{m}' - e'_k$.
3. Case: The number of nonzero coefficients a_i and a_j is larger than the number of nonzero a_p and a_q. If $a_k = 0$ we have $\mu_k^*(z^{\underline{m}}) = z^{\underline{m}'}$, if otherwise $a_k = -1$ tehn $\mu_k^*(z^{\underline{m}}) = 0$, i.e. there is no such monomial in $\vartheta|_{\mathcal{X}_{s'}}$.

We are now ready to prove the following result on cones associated to G^{e,w_0}.

Theorem 3. Let $\underline{w}_0$ be an arbitrary reduced expression of w_0 and $s_{\underline{w}_0}$ the associated seed. Then

$$\Xi_{s_{\underline{w}_0}} = \mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}.$$

Proof. Recall that the initial reduced expression $s_1\, s_2 s_1\, s_3 s_2 s_1\, s_n \dots s_1$ is associated to the initial seed s_0. Let $\underline{w}_0$ be an arbitrary reduced expression and $s = s_{\underline{w}_0}$ the corresponding seed. We prove the theorem by induction on the number of (braid-) mutations necessary to obtain the seed s from the initial seed. For $s_0 = s_{\underline{w}_0}$ we have seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{i,n+1} &= z^{-e_{i,n+1}} + z^{-e_{i,n+1}-e_{i,n}} + \dots + z^{-e_{i,n+1}-e_{i,n}\cdots-e_{i,2}} \\ \vartheta_i &= z^{-e_i} + z^{-e_i-e_{1,i+1}} + \dots + z^{-e_i-e_{1,i+1}-e_{2,i+2}\cdots-e_{n-i,n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the notation for the faces of the pseudoline arrangement as in Section 1.3 we see that all areas $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ between lines l_i and l_{i+1} are of form $F_{(i,j)} \cup F_{(i,j+1)} \cup \dots \cup F_{(i,n+1)}$. Further, every weight area A_i induces the successive weight areas $F_i \cup F_{(1,i+1)} \cup \dots \cup F_{(j,i+j)}$. This yields as described in Example 5 the following normal vectors for the cone $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ on the initial seed

$$\begin{aligned} &-e_{F_{(i,j)}} - e_{F_{(i,j+1)}} - \dots - e_{F_{(i,n)}} - e_{F_{(i,n+1)}} 1 < j \leq n+1 \\ &-e_{F_i} - e_{F_{(1,i+1)}} - e_{F_{(2,i+2)}} - \dots - e_{F_{(j,i+j)}} 1 \leq j \leq n-i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the two cones Ξ_{s_0} and $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ are the same for the initial seed.

In order to have the equality for all seeds s, we have to show that both are transformed in the same way under mutation. But this follows immediately by comparing Section 2.3 with Proposition 7. □

Combining Theorem 3 with the unimodular equivalence between the weighted string cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ and the area cone $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ for arbitrary $\underline{w}_0$ in Theorem 1 we can now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $\underline{w}_0 \in S_{n+1}$ be an arbitrary reduced expression of the longest element and s be the seed corresponding to the quiver $\Gamma_{\underline{w}_0}$ associated to the pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}_0$. Then the weighted string cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$ can be realized as the tropicalization of the superpotential $W : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ restricted to the seed torus $\mathcal{X}_{s_{\underline{w}_0}}$.

4.4. **Double Bruhat cells and Superpotentials.** We will now consider the double Bruhat cells of the form $G^{e,w}$ for w an arbitrary element of S_{n+1}. We have seen by the extension of Caldero's result in [5] that the weighted string cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$ of the double Bruhat cell $G^{e,w}$ is a face of the weighted string cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}_0}$, where $\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ and $\underline{w}_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{\ell(w)}} \dots s_{i_N}$ is an extension of $\underline{w}$ (see Theorem in Section 3.1). From

now on fix $\underline{w}$ and an extension $\underline{w}_0$. As before W denotes the superpotential for the big double Bruhat cell G^{e,w_0}.

Definition 16. We define the *restriction* $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}})$ as the function obtained from $W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}}$ when setting all variables e_i to zero that don't correspond to faces of the pseudoline arrangement for $\underline{w}$.

Here $\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}$ is the torus of the Fock-Goncharov dual cluster variety $\mathcal{X}$ of the $\mathcal{A}$-cluster variety G^{e,w_0} that corresponds to the seed with quiver $\Gamma_{\underline{w}_0}$.

Example 8. Consider SL_4 and $\underline{w} = s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1$ with extension $\underline{w}_0 = s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1s_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}} &= (z^{-e_3}) + (z^{-e_2} + z^{-e_2-e_{1,3}} + z^{-e_2-e_{1,3}-e_{3,4}}) \\ &+ (z^{-e_1} + z^{-e_1-e_{1,2}}) + (z^{-e_{2,4}} + z^{-e_{2,4}-e_{3,4}}) + (z^{-e_{2,3}}) \\ &+ (z^{-e_{1,4}} + z^{-e_{1,4}-e_{1,3}} + z^{-e_{1,4}-e_{1,3}-e_{3,4}} + z^{-e_{1,4}-e_{1,3}-e_{3,4}-e_{1,2}}) \end{aligned}$$

In the pseudoline arrangement corresponding to $\underline{w}$ the face $F_{2,3}$ does not exist anymore, see Figure 10. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}}) &= (z^{-e_3}) + (z^{-e_2} + z^{-e_2-e_{1,3}} + z^{-e_2-e_{1,3}-e_{3,4}}) \\ &+ (z^{-e_1} + z^{-e_1-e_{1,2}}) + (z^{-e_{2,4}} + z^{-e_{2,4}-e_{3,4}}) \\ &+ (z^{-e_{1,4}} + z^{-e_{1,4}-e_{1,3}} + z^{-e_{1,4}-e_{1,3}-e_{3,4}} + z^{-e_{1,4}-e_{1,3}-e_{3,4}-e_{1,2}}) \end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 10. Restriction/Extension of a pseudoline arrangement.

As before, we can define a polyhedral cone whose facets are given by the tropicalization of the restricted superpotential.

Definition 17. Define $\Xi_{\underline{w}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \mid \mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}})^{trop}(x) \geq 0\}$.

Now by the identification of $\Xi_{\underline{w}_0}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}_0}$ from Theorem 3 we immediately obtain the following.

Theorem 5. Let $\underline{w} = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}$ be a reduced expression of $w \in S_{n+1}$ and $\underline{w}_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{l(w)}} \dots s_{i_N}$. Then

$$\Xi_{\underline{w}} = \mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}}.$$

So we see that this tropical cone is nothing but $\mathcal{S}_{\underline{w}}$. We strengthen Theorem 4 to the following

Theorem 6. Let $\underline{w}$ be an arbitrary reduced expression of $w \in S_{n+1}$, then



FIGURE 11. A frozen vertex at the right end of a pseudoline arrangement with two outgoing arrows.

(1) The weighted Gleizer-Postnikov cone $\mathcal{C}_{\underline{w}}$ (i.e. the weighted string cone) for the double Bruhat cell $G^{e,w}$ is unimodularly equivalent to the cone $\Xi_{\underline{w}}$, defined by $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}})^{trop}$.
(2) The lattice points in $\Xi_{\underline{w}}$ parametrize a basis of $\mathbb{C}[G^{e,w}]$.

4.5. **An observation on optimized seeds.** The following proposition is an extension of Proposition 19 in [19] to the cluster algebra associated to $G^{e,w}$ for arbitrary $w \in S_{n+1}$.

Proposition 8. Let $\underline{w}$ be a fixed reduced expression of $w \in S_{n+1}$ and consider the cluster algebra generated by $\Gamma_{\underline{w}}$ as initial quiver. Then every frozen variable has an optimized seed.

Proof. We have to show, that for every frozen vertex there exists a seed with this vertex being a sink. Let v_f be a frozen vertex and consider the initial seed associated to $\Gamma_{\underline{w}}$. Assume v_f is not a sink, i.e. there is an arrow $v_f \to v_{i_1}$ for some mutable vertex v_{i_1}. First assume this is the only arrow leaving v_f. If v_{i_1} is a sink we are done, as mutation at v_{i_1} yields a quiver where v_f is a sink. If v_{i_1} is not a sink, we continue constructing a sequence of distinct vertices

$$v_f \to v_{i_1} \to v_{i_2} \to \cdots \to v_{i_k}$$

until v_{i_k} is a sink or a frozen vertex. Now we can apply the mutation sequnce from [19, Proposition 19] and obtain a seed optimized for v_f.

It remains to consider the case when v_f has more than one outcoming arrow. This can happen for vertices at the right end of the pseudoline arrangement, see for example Figure 11. After mutation at v_a (or v_c) in 11, we are in the situation above. □

We can now use this statement and construct naively a potential, following [11], for any double Bruhat cell, which we call of *GHKK-type*. For a fixed seed s, and a frozen vertex v_f, we find an optimized seed. On this optimized seed, we define a ϑ-function ϑ_f to be z^{-e_f}. Using the mutation sequence from Proposition 8, we can write ϑ_f in our fixed seed s, say ϑ_f^s. Then the GHKK-type potential on the seed s would be given by

$$^{\mathrm{GHKK}}W|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = \sum_{f \text{ frozen}} \vartheta_f^s.$$

If w is the longest Weyl group element, then this is the superpotential $W|_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ on G^{e,w_0}. We compute in the following this potential in a particular example to see that $^{\mathrm{GHKK}}W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}}}$ is not $\mathrm{res}_{\underline{w}}(W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}})$, with $\underline{w}_0$ being an extension to the right of $\underline{w}$.

Let $s = s_{\underline{w}}$ be the seed of the reduced expression $\underline{w} = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1 \in S_4$ as in Figure 10. The corresponding quiver is pictured in Figure 12. We proceed as in [19] and compute optimized seeds for every frozen variable. From $\Gamma_{\underline{w}}$ we see that s is optimized for the frozen variables at v_3 and $v_{2,4}$, hence we set $\vartheta_3|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = z^{-e_3}$ and $\vartheta_{2,4}|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = z^{-e_{2,4}}$, where $\{e_{i,j}\}$ denotes the basis associated to $\mathcal{X}_s$.



FIGURE 12. The quivers $\Gamma_{\underline{w}}$, $\mu_{1,3}(\Gamma_{\underline{w}})$ and $\mu_{1,2}(\Gamma_{\underline{w}})$ for $\underline{w} = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1$. The boxes denote frozen variables.

For the other variables we have to find a mutation sequence to an optimized seed. Mutation at $v_{1,3}$ (resp. $v_{1,2}$) yields the quiver $\mu_{1,3}(\Gamma_{\underline{w}})$ (resp. $\mu_{1,2}(\Gamma_{\underline{w}})$) in Figure 12. The seed $\mu_{1,3}(s)$ is optimized for $v_{1,4}$ and v_2, so $\vartheta_{1,4}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mu_{1,3}(s)}} = z^{-e'_{1,4}}$ and $\vartheta_2|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mu_{1,3}(s)}} = z^{-e'_2}$. Here $\{e'_{i,j}\}$ denotes the basis associated to $\mathcal{X}_{\mu_{1,3}(s)}$. We pull these back with $\mu^*_{1,3}$ as in Equation 4.1 and obtain $\vartheta_{1,4}|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = z^{-e_{1,4}} + z^{-e_{1,4}-e_{1,3}}$ and $\vartheta_2|_{\mathcal{X}_s} = z^{-e_2} + z^{-e_2-e_{1,3}}$. Proceeding analogously with $\mu_{1,2}(s)$, which is optimized for $v_{3,4}$ and v_1, we obtain the following as sum of all ϑ-functions on $\mathcal{X}_s$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\text{GHKK}}W|_{\mathcal{X}_s} &= (z^{-e_3}) + (z^{-e_2} + z^{-e_2-e_{1,3}}) \\ &+ (z^{-e_1} + z^{-e_1-e_{1,2}}) + (z^{-e_{2,4}}) \\ &+ (z^{-e_{3,4}} + z^{-e_{3,4}-e_{1,2}}) + (z^{-e_{1,4}} + z^{-e_{1,4}-e_{1,3}}). \end{aligned}$$

First we observe that ${}^{\text{GHKK}}W|_{\mathcal{X}_s} \neq \text{res}_{\underline{w}}(W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}})$, allthough both are defined on $\mathcal{X}_s$. Let us compare the cones $\Xi_{\underline{w}} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \mid \text{res}_{\underline{w}}(W|_{\mathcal{X}_{\underline{w}_0}})^{trop}(x) \geq 0\}$ and ${}^{\text{GHKK}}\Xi_s = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} \mid {}^{\text{GHKK}}W^{trop}|_{\mathcal{X}_s}(x) \geq 0\}$. A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell(w)+n} = \mathbb{R}^8$ lies in $\Xi_{\underline{w}}$ if the following inequalities are satisfied

$$\begin{gathered} -x_3 \geq 0, \\ -x_2 \geq 0, -x_2 - x_{1,3} \geq 0, -x_2 - x_{1,3} - x_{3,4} \geq 0 \\ -x_1 \geq 0, -x_1 - x_{1,2} \geq 0, \\ -x_{2,4} \geq 0, -x_{2,4} - x_{3,4} \geq 0, \\ -x_{1,4} \geq 0, -x_{1,4} - x_{1,3} \geq 0, -x_{1,4} - x_{1,3} - x_{3,4} \geq 0, -x_{1,4} - x_{1,3} - x_{3,4} - x_{1,2} \geq 0. \end{gathered}$$

The point x lies in ${}^{\text{GHKK}}\Xi_s$, if it satisfies

$$\begin{gathered} -x_3 \geq 0, \\ -x_2 \geq 0, -x_2 - x_{1,3} \geq 0, \\ -x_1 \geq 0, -x_1 - x_{1,2} \geq 0, \\ -x_{2,4} \geq 0, \\ -x_{3,4} \geq 0, -x_{3,4} - x_{1,2} \geq 0, \\ -x_{1,4} \geq 0, -x_{1,4} - x_{1,3} \geq 0. \end{gathered}$$

Comparing the two sets of inequalities one can easily deduce that $\Xi_{\underline{w}} \subset {}^{\mathrm{GHKK}}\Xi_s$. The converse is not true as for example the point $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_{1,2}, x_{1,3}, x_{1,4}, x_{2,4}, x_{3,4}) = (-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,1)$ lies in $\Xi_{\underline{w}}$ but not in ${}^{\mathrm{GHKK}}\Xi_s$.

Remark 1. We deduce that the cone ${}^{\mathrm{GHKK}}\Xi_s$ has not enough lattice points to parametrize a basis of $\mathbb{C}[G^{e,w}]$.

References

[1] V. Alexeev and M. Brion: Toric degenerations of spherical varieties. Selecta Math. (N.S.) **10**, 453–478 (2004).

[2] D. Anderson: Okounkov bodies and toric degenerations. Math. Ann. **356** (2013), no. 3, 1183-1202.

[3] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, and A. Zelevinsky: Cluster algebras III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells. Duke Math. J. Volume **126**, Number 1, 1-52 (2005).

[4] A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky: Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive varieties. Invent. Math. **143**, 77-128 (2001).

[5] P. Caldero: Toric degenerations of Schubert varieties. Transform. Groups **7**, 51-60 (2002).

[6] D. Cox, J.B. Little and H. Schenck: Toric varieties. American Mathematical Soc. (2011).

[7] X. Fang, G. Fourier and P. Littelmann: On toric degenerations of flag varieties. Representation Theory - Current Trends and Perspectives, EMS Series of Congress Reports, 187232 (2016).

[8] V. Fock and A. Goncharov: Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm. Annales scientifiques de l'ENS **42**, fascicule 6, 865-930 (2009).

[9] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky: Cluster algebras I: Foundations. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15**, 497–529 (2002).

[10] M. Gross, P. Hacking and S. Keel: Birational geometry of cluster algebras. Algebraic Geometry **2** (2), 137–175 (2015).

[11] M. Gross, P. Hacking, S. Keel and M. Kontsevich: Canonical bases for cluster algebras. preprint, arXiv:1411.1394 (2014).

[12] E. Gawrilow and M. Joswig, Polymake: a framework for analyzing convex polytopes. Polytopes combinatorics and computation (Oberwolfach, 1997), 43–73, DMV Sem., 29, Birkh auser, Basel, (2000).

[13] I.M. Gel'fand and M.L. Cetlin: Finite-dimensional representations of the group of unimodular matrices, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.), 71, 1950, 825–828.

[14] V. Genz, G. Koshevoy and B. Schumann: Combinatorics of canonical bases revisited: Type A. preprint, arXiv:1611.03465.

[15] C.Geiss, B.Leclerc and J. Schröer: Cluster algebras in algebraic Lie theory, Transform. Groups 2013 - 1, p.149–178.

[16] O. Gleizer and A. Postnikov: Littlewood-Richardson coefficients via Yang-Baxter equation. Internat. Math. Res. Notices **14**, 741–774 (2000).

[17] K. Goodearl, M. Yakimov: Quantum cluster algebra structures on quantum nilpotent algebras, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, (2014), 9696–9703.

[18] P. Littelmann: Cones, crystals, and patterns. Transform. Groups **3**, 145–179 (1998).

[19] T. Magee: Fock-Goncharov conjecture and polyhedral cones for $U \subset SL_n$ and base affine space SL_n/U. preprint, arXiv:1502.03769 (2015).

[20] D.Weng: Donaldson-Thomas transformation of double Bruhat cells in semisimple Lie groups. preprint, arXiv:1611.04186.

L. Bossinger: University of Cologne, Mathematical Institute, Weyertal 86–90, 50931 Cologne, Germany

E-mail address: lbossing@math.uni-koeln.de

G. Fourier: Leibniz University Hannover, Institute for Algebra, Number Theory and Discrete Mathematics, Welfengarten 1, 30167 Hannover, Germany

E-mail address: fourier@math.uni-hannover.de